



December 9, 2011

TO: Mayor and Members of Council
FROM: Rashad M. Young, City Manager *RMY*
SUBJECT: Items for Your Information *JR*

Contact Center Feedback

Attached is the weekly report generated by our Contact Center for the week of November 18, 2011 – December 4, 2011.

City Council Group Photo

The City Council Group Photo will be taken on December 13, 2011, at 4:30 pm in the Council Chambers.

Disclosure of Property Interest Form

Attached is the City of Greensboro's annual Disclosure of Property Interest form, which needs to be filled out by the Mayor and Members of Council. Please return completed forms to the City Clerk.

Board and Commission Recommendations

Attached is a memorandum regarding recommendations on the structure and functions of various City Council appointed Boards and Commissions.

Greensboro Police Take Home Vehicles

Attached is a memorandum from Police Chief Ken Miller, dated December 1, 2011, providing Council of a change in policy, as well as the benefits of, patrol officers taking home their assigned vehicle during their on-duty rotation beginning December 2, 2011.

Building Damage at Grimsley Pool

Attached is a memorandum from Parks and Recreation Director Greg Jackson, dated December 9, 2011, regarding building damages at Grimsley Pool that has caused the pool to be closed. Staff expects recommendations from the engineering firm Shutton-Kennerly & Associates by the end of next week.

General Obligation Debt and Bond Issue Summary

Attached is a memorandum from Finance Director Rick Lusk, dated December 9, 2011, providing a summary on the City's general obligation debt and bond issuance. On the agenda for the December 13, 2011 City Council meeting, Council will be asked to approve the issuance of \$10M in GO Bonds.

Grants Report

Attached is an updated list of grants for which the City intends to apply that do not require a match. Under the policy adopted by City Council, grants that do not require a match are not required to receive formal Council action.

Public Official of the Year

Greensboro Transit Authority (GTA) Manager Libby James was named Public Official of the Year by the North Carolina Triangle Chapter of the US Green Building Council. James was recognized for her role in the development of GTA's new operations and maintenance facility, which is set to open in 2012. The facility will be the City's first LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) Gold rated building.

RMY/mm

cc: Office of the City Manager, Global Media

Public Affairs
Contact Center Weekly Report
Week of 11/28/11 - 12/4/11

Contact Center

5585 calls answered this week

Top 5 calls by area

Water Resources

Balance Inquiry – 1468
New Sign up – 182
Bill Extension – 176
General Info – 160
Cutoff Requests – 147

Field Operations

Loose Leaf Collection – 267
Bulk Guidelines – 113
HHW/Transfer – 96
No Service/Garbage – 65
Dead Animal Pick up – 48

All others

Police/Watch Operations – 247
Police Records – 76
Courts/Sheriff – 71
Privilege License – 43
Collections – 38

Comments

We received a total of 7 comments this week:

Field Operations – 5 comments:

- Caller wants to thank the leaf crew for getting her leaves. She said they did a wonderful job and she wanted to say thank you.
- Customer had spoken with a supervisor and had requested we pick up his loose leaves sooner than Saturday. When the customer returned home today, we had picked up his leaves. He called to thank the loose-leaf team for the excellent service.
- Caller is not pleased with the way we are allowing loose leaves to be in the street. She always blows her leaves to the edge of the yard, up on the curb. It is a hazard to have all of these leaves in the street.
- Caller wanted to say thank you for the prompt delivery of recycle bags.
- Caller states city crews in this area really do a good job. Just wanted to say thank you.

Public Affairs – 1 comment:

- Customer had a suggestion for the website. She would like to be able to have a directory available for city employees, so that a resident can just type in a name and have an email and a phone number pop up. She would also like to see us continue to offer services online. People don't want to pick up the phone and call anymore. They want to submit requests online.

Water Resources – 1 comment:

- I was just paying my water bill through my online banking system and noticed a comment on the billing about paying online and that the cost of this service was \$1.95 per transaction. While I am always amazed by government and what they do and ask for, I think this request for a fee of \$1.95 to bill the water bill is the height of arrogance. Why should somebody pay the water department to pay your bill? As a financial person, the cost of processing an online payment is substantially cheaper than taking a check through the mail, holding it, and then processing it while waiting for the funds to clear. I guess I don't understand but then again I don't understand an agency who has substantial cash reserves but yet requests for continued rate increases. Your agency needs to find a way to reduce the cost, just like every other business in America.

Overall

Calls about loose-leaf collection and dead animals increased last week. Otherwise, we received the normal mix of calls. Call volume was busy through the end of the week.

**CITY OF GREENSBORO
DISCLOSURE OF PROPERTY INTEREST FORM
TO BE FILED ANNUALLY BY THE MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL**

TO THE GREENSBORO CITY CLERK, GUILFORD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA:

Name of Person Filing _____

Spouse's Name(Unless legally separated) _____

I AM LISTING BELOW THE FOLLOWING REAL ESTATE SHOWING MAP, BLOCK, LOT, STREET ADDRESS AND TOWNSHIP IN WHICH I OR MY SPOUSE OWN OR HAVE ANY INTEREST IN, INCLUDING LEASEHOLD INTERSTS AND OPTIONS TO PURCHASE, WITHIN THE CITY OF GREENSBORO, GUILFORD COUNTY. IF NECESSARY, I HAVE COMPLETED AND ATTACHED ADDITIONAL SHEETS.

I. "Any legal, equitable or beneficial interest I or my spouse may have in any real property in Greensboro, Guilford County:

II. "All real property I hold title to, individually or jointly, in Greensboro, Guilford County:

III. "Any real property held in trust as well as any pecuniary interest I may have in any business, firm or corporation of whatever nature, which holds title to or has any ownership interest in any real property in Greensboro, Guilford County:

IV. "Any legal, equitable or beneficial ownership interest I may have in any business, firm or corporation of whatever nature, which is doing business with the City of Greensboro pursuant to contracts which have been awarded by the Greensboro City Council or Guilford County Board of Commissioners:

V. "Any legal, equitable or beneficial ownership interest I may have in any business, firm or corporation, of whatever nature, which is attempting to secure the award of a bid from the City of Greensboro or Guilford County, prior to the award of any contract":

Other Requirements:

- (1) Acquisition of any property interest set out above, subsequent to filing of this disclosure, shall be disclosed by amendment hereto within thirty days of such acquisition.
- (2) A Council Member is disqualified from voting on any matter involving any ownership interest set out above which comes for official action at any Greensboro City Council Meeting.
- (3) Sanctions for violation of the conflicts of interest law include possible criminal misdemeanor charges and/or forfeiture of office.

SIGNED: _____

DATE: _____



December 9, 2011

TO: Mayor and Members of Council
FROM: Rashad M. Young, City Manager 
SUBJECT: Board and Commission Recommendations

As you are aware, City Staff has evaluated the structure and function of various Boards and Commissions appointed by City Council. An initial recommendation was provided to me by Staff in an October 4, 2011, memorandum, which has already been shared with you. At that time, I indicated that we would provide an opportunity for the Boards and Commissions to offer us their input prior to making a final recommendation to you.

Key points of the initial recommendations included:

- Reduce the appointees to most boards to seven with two alternates.
- Merge the responsibilities of the Community Resource Board and the Advisory Commission on Trees to the Planning Board
- Eliminate the Community Resource Board
- Eliminate the Advisory Commission on Trees
- Eliminate the Property Owners' Review Team
- Eliminate the Community Sustainability Council
- Eliminate the Commission on the Status of Women
- Eliminate the RUCO Board

After having received and reviewed the feedback provided by the impacted Boards and Commissions, as well as, the intent of the staff team in making the initial recommendations, I offer the following:

- **Maintain the existing number of appointees for all boards and commissions.** Virtually all the Boards and Commissions formally commented and requested to keep the appointment numbers the same. The issue that staff identified, with respect to having a quorum at board meetings or a full slate of appointments, could be better addressed by making changes to the appointment process itself. Several of the boards recommended that appointments take place as a part of the regular council agenda, perhaps as a part of

the consent agenda as opposed to the very end of the meeting. This is a change that I believe has merit and should be considered by City Council.

- **Merge the responsibilities of the Community Resource Board, the Advisory Commission on Trees, and the Sustainability Council to the Planning Board.** This recommendation will provide the Plan Board with more responsibility, scope, and authority to engage in long-range planning and strategic thinking. It also provides a synergy and consistency in planning of major community and neighborhood development activity and oversight by utilizing one board structure. Finally, this recommendation will streamline and make more efficient the use of staff resources to provide board support. This recommendation is consistent with American Planning Association best practices and was affirmed by an on-site review of the City's Planning and Community Development Department by an APA field team earlier this year. If implemented, the scope and purview of the Plan Board would increase significantly so consideration could be given to creating subcommittee's of the Plan Board (to be appointed by Plan Board Members) to include some of the members of the combined boards (CRB, Sustainability Council, Advisory Commission on Trees). This approach would allow for the specialized knowledge and unique perspectives that is inherent with the current boards to be utilized in this new structure.
- **Eliminate the Community Resource Board, the Advisory Commission on Trees and the Sustainability Council.** I do not diminish the important work and responsibilities inherent in each of these Boards. Also, I recognize that citizen volunteers are committed to the work performed in each of these areas. However, in light of the recommendation above and the strategic opportunity that could be leveraged with the creation of a more robust Plan Board, I believe the City and its citizens are better served with the elimination of these boards. If this recommendation were adopted, an ordinance change with respect to the Advisory Commission on Trees would be necessary and resolution changes for the CRB and Sustainability Council would be required.
- **Eliminate the RUCO Board.** With the passage of the state law that eliminates the ability of municipalities to conduct mandatory rental unit inspections, the purpose of the RUCO Board becomes moot. Staff is working to recommend to City Council the creation of a task force to determine what, if any, follows RUCO. In the interim, the Minimum Housing Commission would hear appeals that result from the housing inspection process as allowed under existing statute or ordinance. An ordinance change would be required to formally eliminate the RUCO Board.

- **Eliminate the Property Owners Review Team.** As indicated in the preliminary recommendation, this function can be readily accomplished by staff. A resolution change would be required.
- **Retain the Commission on the Status of Women.** This Commission has a strong desire to continue its work, it was recommended to be retained by the Human Relations Director. The CSW has been very active in its mission area and I deem it to be an important part of the programmatic work performed by the Human Relations Department.

No additional changes are recommended to the composition, structure, or function of the remaining boards and commissions that were identified in the preliminary recommendation. To include:

Library Commission
 War Memorial Commission
 Insurance Advisory Commission
 Bryan Park Golf Commission
 Redevelopment Commission
 Board of Adjustment
 Tourism Development Authority
 Central Gateway Partnership
 Zoning Commission
 Historic Preservation Commission
 Parks & Rec Commission
 Greensboro Transit Authority
 Minimum Housing Commission

Please let Acting City Manager Denise Turner Roth know should you have any questions.

RMY/mm
 Attachment

cc: Denise Turner Roth, Acting City Manager
 Andy Scott, Assistant City Manager
 Michael Speedling, Assistant City Manager
 Betsey Richardson, City Clerk
 Sue Schwartz, Planning & Community Development Director
 Dr. Anthony Wade, Human Relations Director
 Tom Pollard, Interim City Attorney
 Becky Jo Peterson-Buie, Deputy City Attorney



December 1, 2011

TO: Mr. Rashad Young, City Manager
FROM: Chief K. C. Miller, Chief of Police
SUBJECT: Police Increase Visibility throughout Greensboro with Take Home Cars

Patrol officers who reside in the City of Greensboro are authorized to take home their assigned vehicle during their on duty rotation beginning December 2, 2011.

The primary purpose of this initiative is to increase visibility and police presence throughout our city. We estimate that by allowing on-duty patrol officers to take cars home, we will have an additional 40-50 marked cars travelling throughout neighborhoods and corridors each day. Approximately 43% of our nation's law enforcement agencies allow take-home cars. Longitudinal studies from these departments cite several benefits to take-home cars*:

- enhanced law enforcement visibility and service
- improved vehicle care and reduced maintenance costs (compared to fleet vehicles)
- improved officer job satisfaction and recruitment
- additional protection to the community
- increased sense of security for residents with a police car parked in their neighborhood

A second benefit of this initiative is increased time on patrol. Currently, officers spend approximately 30 minutes per day loading and unloading equipment into/from their assigned vehicles. This results in nearly two hours of administrative time per four-day patrol cycle. By loading and unloading equipment only once per rotation cycle, patrolmen are on the streets for an extra hour and a half per cycle.

A third benefit is the potential to reduce overtime. At the end of shift, supervisors may authorize officers with take-home cars to end their duty day without returning to the police station to perform administrative tasks. While en route to work, officers may be directed to assume duties:

- to relieve other officers at a crime scene
- to mitigate excessive call load
- for rapid deployment to emergencies / serious incidents

We estimate the fuel needed to support this initiative will require approximately \$50,000-\$55,000 and consider this a good investment based on the increased efficiencies it provides.

KCM/scd

cc: Ms. Denise Turner Roth, Acting City Manager
Mr. Michael Speedling, Assistant City Manager

*<http://www.fop.net/programs/research/takehomecars.pdf>



December 9, 2011

TO: Denise Turner Roth, Interim City Manager

FROM: Greg Jackson, Parks and Recreation Director

SUBJECT: Building Damage at Grimsley Pool

The City contracted with the engineering firm Sutton-Kennerly & Associates, Inc. (SKA) to monitor structural cracking at Grimsley Pool. The cracking on the southeast corner of the building is being monitored to determine the impact settling may have on the structural integrity of the walls. SKA conducted their annual inspection on November 4, 2011 and noted increased crack width on the east wall and an outward tilt of the south wall. This information, combined with severe damage to the Grimsley Pool roof Wednesday, December 7, 2011 has resulted in the pool being closed. The pool will remain closed while SKA evaluates the structural integrity of the south wall and City staff determines the necessary roof repairs. Staff expects recommendations back from SKA by the end of next week.

The pool closing has impacted several high school swim teams and the Greensboro Swim Association program. Staff is working with the impacted teams to locate pool time at Smith High School Pool and the Greensboro Aquatic Center.

If you need additional information, please let me know. Otherwise, an update will be provided once we have a recommendation from SKA.

GJ

cc: Butch Simmons, Director, Engineering and Inspections
Wade Walcutt, Division Manager, Community Recreation Services



Financial & Administrative Services
City of Greensboro

December 9, 2011

TO: Denise Turner Roth, Interim City Manager

FROM: Rick Lusk, Finance Director

SUBJECT: General Obligation Debt & Bond Issue Summary

Attached is the General Obligation (GO) Bond Summary on the funding of capital projects proposed in 2012. The summary includes information on the City's outstanding GO debt, proposed GO bond anticipation note & bond issues of \$190 million (voter-approved bonds that are authorized but unissued) through 2020, resources required to fund the bonds, debt policies, summary financial data, and schedules describing \$40 million in projects to be funded over the next two years.

On December 13, 2011, the City Council will be asked to approve the issuance of \$10 million in GO bonds. If approved, those bonds will be offered for sale by the Local Government Commission on January 18, 2012.

On January 17, 2012, the City Council will be asked to approve bank financing of a \$30 million GO bond anticipation note (2-year construction period financing – interest only) which will be refinanced by \$30 million GO Bonds that would be sold in early 2014.

This financing plan will allow the City to move ahead with authorized projects sooner than previously scheduled without any increase in resources required beyond the current debt service funding plan. This will result in the City issuing long-term debt only when needed and not paying debt service on proposed capital projects sooner than necessary while outstanding GO debt and per capita debt would not change significantly over the next 10 years.

RL
Attachment



City Council

General Obligation Debt and Bond Issue Summary December 13, 2011

General Obligation (GO) Debt and Bond Issue Summary


- The City has \$188,895,000 in Outstanding GO Bonds payable through FY 30-31 (FY 11-12 principal payment of \$16.3M)
- The City also has \$190,000,000 in Authorized but Unissued Voter-approved Bonds from referendums in 2006, 2008 & 2009
- Voter-approved Bonds have an initial 7-year authorization period that can be extended to 10 years upon request to the NC Local Government Commission (LGC) by the City Council
- By utilizing a bond anticipation note program it is projected that issuance of the \$190,000,000 in authorized bonds can be spread over the next 9 years without additional resources above the current 7.25 cent tax rate allocation for debt service and interest earnings in the Debt Service Fund
 - Previous projections called for issuing bonds over the next 7 years (requiring additional resources equivalent to a 1.5 cent tax rate increase or \$3.6M)

General Obligation (GO) Debt and Bond Issue Summary

- Debt Policies
 - Outstanding Property Tax-supported Debt of 2% or less of City's assessed valuation (8% statutory max.)
 - Property Tax-supported Per Capita Debt of \$1,000 or less
 - 10% Target for Debt Service as % of General/Debt Service Fund Expenditures
 - Maintain minimum \$10 million fund balance in Debt Service Fund to provide needed flexibility to meet existing Debt Service Obligations and new Bond Issue Obligations
 - Fund Debt Service Obligations with approved tax rate allocation plus interest earnings of General, Debt Service & Bond Funds

3

City of Greensboro Assessed Value




<u>Assessed Value</u>	
<u>\$Billions</u>	
2002	16.659
2003	16.735
2004	16.865
2005	20.959*
2006	21.260
2007	22.055
2008	22.595
2009	24.185
2010	24.348
2011	24.220
2012(est.)	24.250

*revaluation

4


**City of Greensboro
Net GO Debt as a % of Appraised Value**

- Statutory Maximum- 8% of Appraised Value
- Debt Policy - 2% of Appraised Value




**City of Greensboro
Net GO Debt per Capita
(Debt Service Fund)**

Debt Policy
\$1,000 per Capita Maximum




**GO and Total Debt per Capita
Large Cities and Counties
As of June 30, 2011**



7

**Debt Service as a Percentage of Gen. and Debt Service Fund Expenditures
(in millions)
Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011**




Greensboro Target Not to Exceed: 10%

8

Greensboro Tax Rates

- Stable tax rate
- Consistently high collection rate ~98%
- Revaluation January 1, 2004, effective FY 2005



9

General Obligation (GO) Debt and Bond Issue Summary

Summary of \$190M Authorized but Unissued Voter-approved Bonds

- **2006 Referendum - \$26.455M Authorized but Unissued**
 - Includes \$13.955M Fire Station, \$3.5M Library, \$4M Economic Development and \$5M P&R Bonds
 - Propose extending bond authorization to 11-7-2016 with funding available over the next 5 years (2012, 2014 & 2016 Note/Bond Issues)
- **2008 Referendum - \$145.280M Authorized but Unissued**
 - Includes \$131.26M Street Improvements, \$1M Housing and \$13.02M P&R Bonds
 - Propose extending bond authorization to 11-4-2018 with funding available over the next 9 years (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018 & 2020 Note/Bond Issues)
- **2009 Referendum - \$18.265M Authorized but Unissued**
 - Includes funding for the Natural Science Center
 - Propose extending bond authorization to 11-3-2019 with funding available over the next 7 years (2012, 2014, 2016 & 2018 Note/Bond Issues)

10

General Obligation (GO) Debt and Bond Issue Summary

- BAN Program
 - Enter into short-term construction financing (24-month term) and draw funds only as needed, paying interest as projects progress
 - Long-term debt issued at end of 24-month term based on actual amount drawn against bank commitment (2014, 2016, 2018 & 2020 bond issues)
 - Works best for projects for which cash-flow is difficult to estimate, i.e., street projects; \$131M of \$190M (69%) authorized and unissued bonds are for street improvements involving right-of-way acquisition, design, utility relocation and project construction
 - BAN program will allow us to move ahead with authorized projects sooner than scheduled while issuing long-term debt only when needed & not paying debt service (P&I) sooner than necessary
 - Total outstanding GO debt and per capita debt would not change significantly during the next 10 years (2012 through 2022)
 - \$190M GO Bonds issued and \$180M GO Bonds paid off from 2012 through 2022
 - Per capita debt averages \$674 (\$666 in 2012 and \$660 in 2022)

11

General Obligation (GO) Debt and Bond Issue Summary


- Bond Issue Alternatives (project list on subsequent slide)
 - Current Plan (capital projects constructed over 24 months)
 - City Council approved \$30M GO Bond Issue in FY 11-12 budget work session
 - Bond sale scheduled January 2012 & \$30M debt repayment begins FY 12-13
 - Alternative Plan (capital projects constructed over 24 months)
 - Increase GO bond project total from \$30M up to \$40M (bonds sold 2012 & 2014)
 - o \$10M GO Bonds sold January 2012 & debt repayment begins FY 12-13
 - ❖ Converts \$6M Aquatic Center BAN's to Bonds
 - ❖ Reimburses City for \$4M proposed advance to Natural Science Center SciQuarium
 - o \$30M Bond Anticipation Note (BAN) program starts March 2012
 - ❖ \$30 million BAN's drawn for project cash-flow over 24 months & then converted to permanent financing FY 13-14
 - ❖ Interest only paid for 24 months & principal repayment begins FY 14-15

12

FY 11-12 & FY 12-13 Bond Projects \$30M Current Bond Issue Plan	FY 11-12 & FY 12-13 Bond Projects \$40M Alternative Bond Issue Plan
\$4,337,000 Handlery to Road/Plat/Seal/Rehab Application to Future Financing	\$3,640,000 Handlery to Road/Plat/Seal/Rehab Application to Future Financing
	\$3,550,000 Handlery to Road
\$2,750,000 Handlery to Road	\$2,750,000 Handlery to Road Application to Future Financing
\$2,000,000 Handlery to Road - Plat/Seal	\$2,000,000 Handlery to Road - Plat/Seal
\$2,750,000 Handlery to Road/Plat/Seal/Rehab Application to Future Financing	\$2,750,000 Handlery to Road/Plat/Seal/Rehab Application to Future Financing
\$2,400,000 Handlery to Road/Plat/Seal/Rehab	\$2,400,000 Handlery to Road/Plat/Seal/Rehab
13	


FY 11-12 & FY 12-13 Bond Projects Current Bond Issue Plan	FY 11-12 & FY 12-13 Bond Projects Alternative Bond Issue Plan
\$1,000,000 Handlery to Road/Plat/Seal/Rehab	\$1,000,000 Handlery to Road/Plat/Seal/Rehab
\$2,000,000 Handlery to Road	\$2,000,000 Handlery to Road/Plat/Seal/Rehab
\$2,000,000 Handlery to Road/Plat/Seal/Rehab	\$2,000,000 Handlery to Road/Plat/Seal/Rehab
\$2,000,000 Handlery to Road/Plat/Seal/Rehab	\$2,000,000 Handlery to Road/Plat/Seal/Rehab
	\$2,000,000 Handlery to Road/Plat/Seal/Rehab
14	

General Obligation Debt (in millions) Proposed Debt Issues



15

GO Debt Per Capita Proposed Debt Issues Comparison of Both Plans



16

General Obligation (GO) Debt and Bond Issue Summary

- **Bond Issue Schedule**

- December 13, 2011 City Council Authorizes \$10M Bond Issue
(bond authorizations via referendum are pre-approved by LGC)
- January 17, 2012 City Council Authorizes Bank Financing of \$30M BAN's & LGC Application for Approval
- January 18, 2012 LGC sells \$10M GO Bonds
- February 7, 2012 LGC approves \$30M BAN Issue
- February 27, 2012 Bond Closing
- March 1, 2012 Note Closing



CITY OF GREENSBORO GRANT APPLICATIONS

<u>Grantor</u>	<u>Grants/Projects /Description of Purpose</u>	<u>Amount Requested</u>	<u>Department Requesting Funding</u>	<u>Council Notification Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
The U.S. Conference of Mayors and Scotts Miracle-Gro Grant	Sustainable Garden Grant for Keely Park Teaching Garden. Project will provide workshops to Greensboro residents for their own neighborhood garden development.	\$25,000	Parks and Recreation Department	December 9, 2011	Approved by Department on December 5, 2011
US Environmental Protection Agency Sustainable Communities Building Blocks	Technical Assistance to assist with development of master plan for Cottage Grove-South English Street Sustainable Community Initiative	0 (Technical Assistance)	Planning and Community Development Department	December 2, 2011	Approved by Department on November 11, 2011
Dept. of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (DJJDP)	NC DJJDP 2nd Chance Program provides gang intervention and prevention services through outreach, mentoring, counseling, and supportive services provided by community partners, One Step Further and Youth Focus.	\$150,000.00	Parks and Recreation Department	September 16, 2011	Approved by CMO on September 16, 2011
Department of Homeland Security	FY 2011 Homeland Security Grant for NC Bomb Team Association will be utilized for chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear and explosive weapons incident equipment. This equipment maintains the current standard of interoperability among bomb squads on a state wide basis and will enhance regional response capabilities.	\$6,946	Police Department	September 9, 2011	Approved by Department on September 7, 2011
2011 National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators (NADDI)	2011 National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators (NADDI) Grant	\$800	Police Department	August 12, 2011	Approved by Department Director August 11, 2011
Shane's Inspiration & Landscape	2011 Together We Play Grant: provides playground design and equipment for accessibility for disable children	\$150,000	Parks and Recreation Department	July 29, 2011	Approved by CMO on July 27, 2011
Weaver Foundation	2011 Junior Board Grants Program: Youth First Teen Summit educates middle school youth on social and health issues	\$750	Parks and Recreation Department	July 22, 2011	Submitted
NC 911 Board	2011 NC 911 Board Grant	\$3,500,000	Guilford Metro 911	June 24, 2011	Approved by CMO on June 20, 2011
Department of Justice	2011 Department of Justice Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Grant Program	\$175,000	Greensboro Police Department	June 17, 2011	Approved by CMO on June 16, 2011



CITY OF GREENSBORO GRANT APPLICATIONS

<u>Grantor</u>	<u>Grants Projects // Description of Purpose</u>	<u>Amount Requested</u>	<u>Department Requesting Funding</u>	<u>Council Notification Date</u>	<u>Status</u>
US Department of Justice office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS)	Greensboro Child Response. This grant provides the training component for the Governors Crime Commission Child Response Initiative Grant.	\$206,781	Greensboro Police Department	May 20, 2011	Approved by CMO on May 19, 2011
Edward Byrne Memorial JAG	Policing initiative electronic monitoring program.	\$300,000	Greensboro Police Department	May 20, 2011	Approved by CMO on May 19, 2011
NC Governors Highway Safety Program	Update and/or add equipment to be utilized at Checkpoint events and crash scenes. Increases safety of officers and the public.	\$17,000	Police Department	May 13, 2011	Approved by Dept. on May 6, 2011
National Institute of Justice	2011 Forensic Science Training If funded, the grant will allow forensic personnel to attend diverse forensic science training, both regionally and nationally. The department will also host training opportunities benefiting our department and surrounding agencies, as required in the grant solicitation.	\$376,907	Police Department	April 22, 2011	Approved by CMO on April 21, 2011
North Carolina Department of Cultural Resources	Federal Historic Preservation Fund (Dept. of Interior, National Park Service) City wide architectural survey update supports Sunset Hills National Register Project	\$18,000	Planning and Community Development Department	March 25, 2011	Approved by Dept. on March 22, 2011
National Institute of Justice	Funding to assist with solving cold cases with DNA	\$65,812	Police Department	March 11, 2011	Approved by Dept on Feb. 11, 2011
Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention	Comprehensive Anti-Gang Strategies and Programs (Hope Project)	\$750,000	Parks and Recreation Department	March 11, 2011	Cancelled by Funder
North Carolina Housing Finance Agency	Single Family Rehab Program	\$200,000	Planning and Community Development Department	March 11, 2011	Approved by CMO on April 29, 2011